



**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA  
ROCK HILL DIVISION**

SHAHID MAJID,

Plaintiff,

vs.

NURSE PRACTITIONER EVERLN MAY,  
NURSE PRACTITIONER LILIAN EMETU,  
MEDICAL DIRECTOR WANDA SERMONS,  
RN TRACEY MASSEY, and SCDC,  
Defendants.

§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§

CIVIL ACTION 0:24-1944-MGL-PJG

---

---

**ORDER ADOPTING THE REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION  
AND DENYING PLAINTIFF'S MOTIONS FOR A PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION**

---

---

Plaintiff Shahid Majid (Majid), who is self-represented, filed his lawsuit against Defendants Nurse Practitioner Everln May, Nurse Practitioner, Lilian Emetu, Medical Director Wanda Sermons, RN Tracey Massey, and SCDC.

The matter is before the Court for review of the Report and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge (the Report) suggesting Majid's motions for a preliminary injunction be denied. The Report was made in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636 and Local Civil Rule 73.02 for the District of South Carolina.

The Magistrate Judge makes only a recommendation to this Court. The recommendation has no presumptive weight. The responsibility to make a final determination remains with the Court. *Mathews v. Weber*, 423 U.S. 261, 270 (1976). The Court is charged with making a de novo determination of those portions of the Report to which specific objection is made, and the Court may

accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendation of the Magistrate Judge or recommit the matter with instructions. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).

The Magistrate Judge filed the Report on July 18, 2025, but Majid failed to file any objections.

“[I]n the absence of a timely filed objection, a district court need not conduct a de novo review, but instead must ‘only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record in order to accept the recommendation.’” *Diamond v. Colonial Life & Acc. Ins. Co.*, 416 F.3d 310, 315 (4th Cir. 2005) (quoting Fed. R. Civ. P. 72 advisory committee’s note). Moreover, a failure to object waives appellate review. *Wright v. Collins*, 766 F.2d 841, 845-46 (4th Cir. 1985).

After a thorough review of the Report and the record in this case pursuant to the standard set forth above, the Court adopts the Report and incorporates it herein. It is therefore the judgment of the Court Majid’s motions for a preliminary injunction are **DENIED**.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Signed this 5th day of August, 2025, in Columbia, South Carolina.

/s/ Mary Geiger Lewis  
MARY GEIGER LEWIS  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

\*\*\*\*

#### **NOTICE OF RIGHT TO APPEAL**

Majid is hereby notified of the right to appeal this Order within thirty days from the date hereof, pursuant to Rules 3 and 4 of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure.